

Baylor, Worse Than Berkeley? Inside Their War on Christianity

October 9, 2026

FRANK:

Ladies and gentlemen, I didn't want to have to do this, but it must be done. In 20 years of going to college campuses, I've never experienced more pushback. More administrative roadblocks and more last-minute changes that could be classified as extortion to thwart my presentation on the evidence for Christianity than we just experienced at Baylor University.

Yes, the Baylor University that advertises itself as a Christian school, a Baptist school. Well, ladies and gentlemen, as you'll see. These last few months have shown me and our team that the Baylor administration, and as we'll see on this podcast, some of the Baylor faculty are Baptists like Bill Clinton is a Baptist.

They are Christians like James Talarico is a Christian, in name only. Their behavior and their doctrines contradict the Bible and betray them. In fact, they just had James Talarico at Baylor, which is a perfect match for them. As we're about to see, just like James Talarico, who supports unbiblical positions, so does Baylor.

Now, I need to have a caveat here: not everything at Baylor is bad. I'm gonna, I'm gonna get into this as we go, but before we get into the details. Let's talk about what Baylor did with our event, which was scheduled to happen just this past Monday, October 5th. It had been scheduled for several months. They did six things that made it so difficult that we couldn't even do the event.

First of all, they labeled my talk as an "expressive" activity, which put all sorts of restrictions on me and added cost to the event. What is an expressive activity? This is right off their website. We'll put these links in the show notes. Here's an expressive activity. "It is any form of organized communication or conduct intended to convey ideas, perspectives, or information." Unquote.

What? That could be any speaker at Baylor, any faculty presentation, any course. That's an expressive activity. Anyway, they go on to say this: "this includes, but is not limited to speeches, demonstrations, rallies, protests, marches, picketing, posturing or postering, distributing literature, distributing literature and symbolic action such as holding signs or wearing armbands." Well, ladies and gentlemen, we were going to do none of that except give a speech and answer questions.



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek PODCAST

Secondly, because they deemed this event an expressive activity, they wouldn't allow us to live stream it. I don't know why that is. Thirdly. They limited the number of people from the community who could attend. Number four, they wouldn't let us promote it until it was too late. It was inside of two weeks, which meant there were only about 180 people signed up.

Now, when we were there at Baylor about 10 years ago, over 800 showed up to the point that we had to have two overflow rooms. But this time, because we couldn't advertise it, we only had 180. Not that that's necessarily a bad audience, but you'll see here in a minute why we couldn't go through with it. The fifth thing they did is they wouldn't allow Dr. Corey Miller, who is the president of Ratio Christi and was also scheduled to speak with me that night, October 5th, they wouldn't allow him to table on campus that day to answer questions and to promote the event.

And then finally, number six, this is supposed to be a Christian university, ladies and gentlemen. Finally, number six, in what could be interpreted as extortion, they sent an email at 4:57 p.m. last Friday. Remember, the event's on Monday, so there's three minutes left to go in the workday. They sent an email at 4:57 p.m. notifying us that they had more than tripled our security fee from \$2,600 to \$8,500.

Now. We bring our own security team, and that's at least \$10,000 every time we go to a campus. But at the very last minute, they more than tripled the fee. And since we had a security team flying there on Sunday, and the Baylor administration gave us no time to question, negotiate, or get clarification on any of this, they left us no choice but to cancel the event immediately.

We didn't even know if they would take the room away from us at the last minute if we didn't cough up almost nine thousand dollars. Now, by the way, the very person that tripled our fees to \$8,500 dollars, more than tripled our fees to \$8,500 dollars, just three minutes before quitting time on Friday, is on record and again we'll put this in the show notes for saying that such security fees top out at \$3,500 dollars.

Why are they charging us \$8500? Now the lady who did this, her name is Dr. Unswella Ankton. She's the associate director for student involvement. You know, I wonder if she at the last minute tripled James Talarico's security fee. He spoke there back on September 16th. Or I wonder if she pulled the same quasi-extortion tactic on the LGBTQ speakers at the end of the last workday before their event, which just happened on April 22nd, 2026.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

In fact, in 2025, after donors and Bible-believing Christians spoke up, Baylor actually returned a grant of about \$700,000 dollars that was intended to be used to get Christians to affirm LGBTQ behavior. But the very next year, this year in 2026, they hosted an LGBTQ affirming event on September 22nd, 2006.

They hosted what they called our all our all our neighbors event, All Our Neighbors event, an LGBTQ event, and it was part of an affirming program, "All Our Neighbors," unquote. And this was offered as a counter program to guess who? TPUSA, which featured Donald Trump Jr., Ken Paxton, who's running for Senate against Talarico, and Tom Homan, who helps enforce the border.

And by the way, Tom Homan just hasn't worked for President Trump. He worked for President Obama back in the Obama days, and President Obama gave Tom Homan a presidential award for his work at ICE, which as you know, is involved in deporting illegal aliens. Now, why did nobody give President Obama trouble for using ICE to deport illegal aliens but President Trump, they give trouble?

And why are they going after Homan now, when he was a darling when President Obama was president? Now, this LGBTQ affirming event actually contradicts not only the Bible, but Baylor's own policy, their own statement on human sexuality. I'm going to read a short section of it again. We have all the receipts here. We'll put the link in the show notes.

Their statement on human sexuality says this: "It is thus expected that Baylor students will not participate in advocacy groups which promote understandings of sexuality that are contrary to biblical teaching." Unquote. Yet Baylor itself is inviting LGBTQ speakers that are contrary to biblical teaching.

Why are they doing this? They have this policy that's consistent with the Bible, but then they ignore it when somebody political, quasi-political, comes to town, like Donald Trump Jr. or Ken Paxton or Tom Homan. They say, "Oh, we got to have an LGBTQ event to counteract the TPUSA event." Now, this is actually not unusual at Baylor, which again claims to be a Christian university.

They have a long history of sometimes opposing basic Christian truths. I mean, way back, late 90s, early 2000s, about 25, 26 years ago, evolutionists at Baylor ran the great Bill Dembski off their campus. He's one of the founders of the ID movement. He was actually teaching there and

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

was establishing an ID department, and the evolutionists there wouldn't have anything to do with it.

This, despite the fact that the Bible clearly says that God created the universe and life, and that in effect God is the intelligent designer of this creation. And Dembski's work, which is still valid today, Stephen Meyer and others have built on it. Dembski's work just simply showed that this design that God has put in nature is detectable in nature.

But the evolutionists said Baylor would have none of it, and he was actually run off campus, and so was his center. Now, the administration isn't the only problem at Baylor. We're going to show you some of the crazy courses that are being taught, and some of the professors who, for lack of a better term, are just woke. Even the degree programs are work, woke. We're going to get into it right after the break.

You're listening to I Don't Have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist with me, Frank Turek, on the American Family Radio Network and other stations around the country. Don't go anywhere. We'll be right back.

Welcome back to I Don't Have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist, ladies and gentlemen, with me, Frank Turek. We're talking about what happened with Baylor University last week and how they just put so many roadblocks on my talk that basically Christianity is true, that we had to cancel the event. They gave us no choice, as I pointed out in the first part of the program. But now I want to dive into some of the faculty and degree programs and courses that Baylor is offering that are just anti-Christian.

Now, what we're about to see does not mean that there are no good Christian courses or faculty at Baylor, of course there are. In fact, my friend Frank Beckwith teaches there. I took a course from Frank when he taught at SES about 30 years ago, Southern Evangelical Seminary, a very good school here in the Charlotte area. And Frank is an excellent philosophy professor. He will teach all sides of an issue, but then point out that Christianity is indeed true.

But some good professors and courses don't make Baylor a Christian university any more than a steak with two sides of arsenic makes a good meal. I mean, if Baylor was a biblically faithful Christian university, they would not have the degree programs, courses, or some of the professors were about to see. So, in the spirit of the Apostle Paul, who named names in the Bible, false teachers, in order to protect the flock, let's name some names of some false doctrines and teachers at Baylor.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek PODCAST

First, let's start with the degree programs. Here's a few of the degree programs that they teach at Baylor. They teach women's and gender studies. They teach poverty studies and social justice. They teach ethnic studies. Of course, they have social work. Now, some of that may be just fine, but some of it might not be.

Of course, they teach sociology. They also teach environmental humanities. In fact, one of the subjects they teach there is food justice in environmental human, food justice, what's food, anyway. Now, okay, they seem like some of these majors anyway or degree programs. Some of them are minors, some of them are majors, some of them are PhDs. They seem a little questionable, and the devil is really in the details.

So, what kind of courses are in these degree programs? Well, let me start it out by pointing this out. When I was at the University of Georgia a couple of weeks ago, I talked about cultural Marxism, and I started the talk or the beginning of the talk. I asked this question at UGA: Do you think people should be judged on their race, origin, sex, religion, or finances, or should they be judged on their behavior?

And thankfully, nobody said we should be judged on our race, our origin, our sex, religion, or finances. We should be judged on our behavior. Well, the problem is cultural Marxism judges people not on their behavior necessarily, but on their race, on their origin, on their sex, on their religion, or their finances. And that is what is being taught at Baylor, and it's being taught at Berkeley too, okay, and many universities.

But why Baylor if Baylor's a Christian school? In fact, let's take a look at SWO 4315. This is a course at Baylor. It's called Foundations for Social Justice. Now, when I looked into this course, I couldn't believe it. I couldn't believe they're using a text that we use to write *The War on Reality* to point out what cultural Marxism is.

Except the text they're using advocates for cultural Marxism. And the text is *Readings for Diversity and Social Justice, or Is Everyone Really Equal?* And this is by Sensory and D'Angelo. Yeah, DiAngelo, the Robin DiAngelo who wrote *White Fragility*. This is a book advocating cultural Marxism, and we quote them in this book, *The War on Reality*.

And if you have the book. Go to page 192 because once you see the chart on page 192, the light bulb is going to go off in your mind. It went off in my mind when I read DiAngelo and Sensory, and I guess I guess the name is Sensoy, S-E-N-S-O-Y. When I when I when I read that, I went, "Oh, now I see where these people are coming from." Where are they coming from?

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

They group people either as oppressors or oppressed. Who are the oppressors according to them, regardless of personal behavior? If you're white, owning class, male, if you're a heterosexual, if you're a Christian, if you're able-bodied, if you're a citizen, if you're a white settler, you're automatically an oppressor. And the more those identities that you have, the more evil basically you are, and the less you should be heard.

On the other hand, you're oppressed if you're a person of color, if you're poor or middle class. If you're a woman, if you're LGBTQ, if you're a non-Christian, if you're disabled, if you're an immigrant, or if you're an indigenous person, and bonus points if you're an illegal immigrant who came here illegally, you know, you came over the border illegally, bonus points for that. You're automatically an oppressed. And the more of those qualities that you have, the more you should be heard, the more credibility you have.

This is called intersectionality. If you have more of these qualities, you should be heard. But if you don't have any of these qualities, if you're on the oppressor side, if you're a white owning class male heterosexual Christian able-bodied citizen who's a white settler, boom, you shouldn't be heard. You're evil. You might even be called a fascist, regardless of your personal behavior. This is the kind of stuff that is being taught in this course, Foundations for Social Justice, not analytically like, let's introduce this to you so you can see how some people think, but this is being advocated for in this course.

This is anti-biblical. In fact, we'll see in the next podcast that we'll do midweek that cultural Marxism, this kind of oppressed oppressor thing, is unbiblical. That the Bible is very clear that God wants us to treat one another impartially, not by identity group. But I don't have time to get into it in this program. We'll do it in the next program. And oh, by the way, James Talarico was pushing economic Marxism when he was at Baylor a few weeks ago.

Here's what he said. Here's a quote. He said, "The real fight in this country is not left versus right; it's top versus bottom." Unquote. That's basic Marxism. That the working class have to rise up and overthrow the owning class. Now, we're going to do a podcast soon showing that the free market capitalism, while it's not a perfect system, is the best system we know about that has been proven to get the most people out of poverty and to bring prosperity for everyone, from the bottom all the way to the top.

Yet Talarico somehow thinks that socialism is the way forward. Ladies and gentlemen, how many people do you know in Florida who are getting on rickety boats right now to escape for a better life in Cuba? Nobody. They're all coming in the other direction because socialism doesn't

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek PODCAST

work. It can't work. It misunderstands human nature. But we'll cover that in a future podcast. Oh, how about this course? This is REL religion course at Baylor 3397 called Gender Feminism and Theology.

Now, this course is often taught by Dr. Elise Edwards, who calls herself a Black feminist, and she apparently finds racism everywhere, even in buildings. She contributed to a book back in 2022, and here's the title of her article in that book or her chapter in that book, "Creation for a Just World: Racism in Architecture and Public Space." Unquote.

In fact, she wrote a whole book on it in 2024 called *Architecture, Theology, and Ethics: Making Architectural Design More Just*. Unquote. That's an entire book by black feminist Dr. Elise Edwards. Now she may be a very nice person. Don't get me wrong. I'm simply saying this is gobbledygook. This, you're saying you're fighting racism in buildings.

I mean the Democrats have been saying we have racism in roads. We have racism in buildings. They've been saying that math is racist. That just doesn't add up with us. They're saying that reason is racist. That reason is a tool of oppression. While they try and give us reasons to believe reason is a tool of oppression, this is self-contradictory nonsense, and this is being taught at a allegedly Christian school.

Here are some other courses that they teach: philosophical issues in feminism, women's and gender studies, also gender, race, and media. Oh, how about this? This is SOC 3311. It's called Sociology of race and ethnicity, and the book they're using in this is called quote, "*The Production of Reality: Essays and Readings on Social Interaction*" by Jodi O'Brien.

Now, in this book, *The Production of Reality*, I'm reading a summary here. The concept of reality construction is the core operational framework of the entire book. Author Jodi O'Brien presents reality not as a collection of static natural facts, but as an ongoing social project. Do you see this, ladies and gentlemen? This is postmodernism.

That there is no objective reality, that you build your own. *The Production of Reality*. That's the name of the book. Ladies and gentlemen if everyone produces their own reality, then there's nothing to say that Christianity is objectively true. You just produce your own reality. You want to say it's false? That's fine. You can't really tell whether something's true or false because everyone produces their own reality. Now, as you know, ladies and gentlemen, if you've been listening to this program long enough or you had a basic course in logic, this is self-contradictory.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

To say that we all make our own reality, you simply would ask that person, "Did you just make that reality, or is that objectively true that we all make our own reality?" It is a self-contradictory worldview. It goes completely against everything we know. To say that you produce your own reality, that there is no objective reality out there, that you make your own. Now, it is true you can affect reality, don't get me wrong, but you don't create your own laws of logic.

In fact, in the book, *The War on Reality*, we have a whole section in here called "Reality Doesn't Have Pronouns." In other words, there's not my laws of gravity and your law of gravity. There's not my laws of physics and your laws of physics. There's just the laws of physics. There's not my laws of logic and your laws of logic; they're just the laws of logic. There's not my laws of math and your laws of math. There's just the laws of math.

There's not a law that says, "Thou shall not murder for me," but you don't have that law. No, that law applies to you as well, and laws come from lawgivers. The author and sustainer of reality is God Himself. Yet you have people at Baylor teaching people, teaching, as Rush Limbaugh used to say, young skullfuls of mush. Treating or teaching them they create their own.

If they create their own reality, why are they going to school? You don't need to go to school to learn any objective facts. If there are no objective facts, you just create your own reality. Why go to school? Why pay 55 grand a year to go to Baylor if you just construct your own reality? Or if you create reality based on your feelings, why go to school for that? I mean, just follow your feelings. If your feelings are reality, just follow them. You don't need anybody to tell you anything else.

This is not only self-contradictory; it's quite obviously anti-biblical. Oh, and then they have another course in religion, 3325, Women and Gender in the Old Testament, where they teach a liberationist and feminist approach to interpreting the Scripture, and even de-emphasizing certain scriptures and emphasizing other scriptures, contrary to what God did in order to come up with the theology they want.

We'll unpack that right after the break. You're listening to I Don't Have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist. We're talking about many of the woke, anti-biblical courses being taught at Baylor, which claims to be a Christian university. We'll unpack more of that in just a couple of minutes. I'm Frank Turek. Don't go anywhere.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
.ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

AD:

Could you answer this question: Why doesn't God let everyone into heaven, or do all religions get you to heaven? Well, Frank Turek can, and now you can. Watch him tackle the toughest questions from his latest college tour appearance. See real students challenge Frank, and watch how truth stands up under pressure. Subscribe to our Cross Examined YouTube channel right now to watch. That's Cross Examined, two words, on YouTube and sharpen your faith for the real world.

FRANK:

What's going on at the allegedly Christian school known as Baylor University? Apparently, they don't want *I Don't Have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist* to be presented down there. Evidence for the Christian faith, and they're teaching all sorts of anti-Christian, unbiblical, contradictory concepts to students. We were talking about a course just before the break that they teach there.

It's called Women and Gender in the Old Testament. And they have a liberationist hermeneutics to read the Bible. So they're not attempting to understand the objective meaning in the text. What they're trying to do is read the Bible through the eyes of the marginalized, the oppressed, and the powerless. And the course is centered on gender, and it intersects very heavily with feminist theology to achieve these specific goals.

Check out the hermeneutics that they have here. First, they have the hermeneutic of suspicion. I'll explain that in a minute. Then they have the hermeneutics of retrieval, and then they have subverting oppressive interpretation. So, what's the hermeneutic of suspicion? Students are trained to read biblical narratives critically, questioning the motivations of the narrator. So, for example, if they see something they don't like in the text, they question the motivator of the narrator, and maybe they say, "Well, this text really isn't true." Or the hermeneutics of retrieval.

Eh, here's a summary. It's eh, the summary of the of this hermeneutics of retrieval says rather than focusing only on major male figures like Abraham, Moses, or David, this approach selectively pulls minor or unnamed female characters to the forefront. So, like Shiphrah and Puah, they were the midwives of Moses, Hagar, or Rizpah.

And it re-evaluates them as primary actors in God's redemptive history. So check this out, ladies and gentlemen. God apparently wasn't smart enough to emphasize the right characters. So this hermeneutic is designed, or basically is a desired theology, in search of Scripture, rather than properly interpreting Scripture to get the true theology revealed by God.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

This is not Christian, ladies and gentlemen. This is what we might call in hermeneutics class eisegesis, where you're reading what you want into the text. Exegesis is getting the text, getting the meaning out of the text, learning what God actually says, not what you want Him to say. Eisegesis, you're not getting God's word; you're getting your word, because you're just taking what you want, making it up essentially.

Whereas exegesis, you're getting, if it's done properly, you're getting God's theology. Or how about subverting oppressive interpretations? Ladies and gentlemen, this is Marxist language and concepts. It's about rebellion rather than repentance. They want to argue that the overarching arc of scripture is concerned with justice and liberation, and so students explore how the text can be used to counter the systematic oppression of women today, moving past traditional readings that have historically restricted women's roles.

Ladies and gentlemen, yes, there is a debate on complementarianism and egalitarianism, but if you are trying to subvert what you perceive to be oppressive interpretations, again, you're not coming to the text honestly. You already have an agenda that you're trying to impose on the text. And by the way, the worldview that liberates women is Christianity.

Islam doesn't do that. Hinduism doesn't do that. Hinduism has a caste system. Atheism doesn't do that. Atheism has no grounds for morality. So, this is anti-Christian, and they're not teaching it as in a detached way, saying, "Well, here's what some people believe." They're advocating for this at Baylor.

So they're not just critiquing false views. They are teaching these false anti-Christian views as if they were true. Again, when you go to school, are you supposed to be following truth or your feelings or what you want to be true? I mean, why go to any school if you're just going to follow your feelings or just decide that you want to find evidence for your worldview rather than following the evidence where it leads?

They also have introduction to ethnic studies. They have literature and environmental justice. That's where you get food justice from. Oh, and then in 2011 they had this course, Sociology 4V70. Get the title of this: Homosexuality as a Gateway Drug. This is at Baylor University, ladies and gentlemen. Now, now, now, to be fair, university officials clarified that this was not a standard class open to the general student body, but rather a specialized independent study requested by a single undergraduate working alongside a faculty member.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

Yeah, so what? A faculty member approved this. Homosexuality is a gateway drug. I mean, I'm glad it wasn't a course for everybody, but why would a faculty member say, "Oh yeah, that's a good thing. That's a good Christian thing you ought you ought to do." They also, in 2021, had diversity, equity, and inclusion requirements. DEI, which stands for "Didn't Earn It," ladies and gentlemen. We could talk about DEI all day.

It's not Christian, ladies and gentlemen. Oh, and then there is a Baylor English professor by the name of Greg Garrett, who also teaches at Truett Seminary, which is Baylor Seminary, and one of his specialties is teaching about racism in the church. Now, from what I've read about him, and the student reviews, he seems like a nice guy, and students like him, but that doesn't mean everything he's teaching is biblical.

In fact, in a recent Substack article just from September 28th, he writes this: "I'm a progressive Christian theologian who cares deeply about race, religion, and justice." And in April of 2021, Professor Garrett received almost 500,000 dollars from or it was actually a \$488,000 grant to Baylor supporting his research into quote "unconscious racism" and quote "racial mythologies." The Eula Mae and John Baugh Foundation, who gave the grant, is a progressive Christian foundation committed to inclusive, progressive, and social justice causes, as well as a strict separation of church and state.

Except, of course, when pushing liberal policies, then getting the progressive church to be politically active is just fine, as you know. Yeah, yeah, it's okay for leftist Christians to impose what they think the Bible says on society, but don't let any Christian conservative do that. That would be a violation of the separation of church and state. By the way, this Eula Mae and John Baugh Foundation, they're the same foundation that tried to give Baylor \$700,000 back in 2025 in order to get churches to affirm LGBTQ, and due to the outcry from Bible-believing Christians and some donors, the president said, "Oh, we got to give this one back." But the writing was on the wall there.

Oh, then in April of 2024, Professor Garrett criticized J.K. Rowling on X. Now he's a big fan, actually of Rowling's work. He teaches courses related to Harry Potter and all this, but he criticized and called her shameful for giving real-world examples of trans women, you say, "What's a trans woman?" Okay, the easiest way to remember this, I know it's hard to keep this stuff straight, but trans woman also means fake woman, so it's really a man trying to be a woman, whereas trans man is fake man.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

It's a woman trying to be a man, so that's how you remember it. Okay, it's a man posing as a woman, a trans woman. Anyway. Rowling gave real-world examples of trans women who have committed horrific crimes, including sexual crimes against children. And for this, Professor Baylor Garrett called her a hater of trans people.

Now, we're going to put the links in the show notes so you can see what J.K. Rowling posted and also what Professor Garrett posted on X. Now here's my question, Professor Garrett at Baylor, how is it hateful to call out criminals who hurt women and children? I mean, if a criminal identifies as trans, are we not supposed to notice?

You know, when you don't punish criminals, you actually punish the innocent. You punish the victims. And Professor Garrett, how do you define hate? Is it hateful to disagree with someone? If so, you're a hater too because you disagree with J.K. Rowling. Now, I think Professor Garrett, you're making the same mistake so many progressives make.

You think love means approval and that disagreement means hate, but you don't love people when you approve of behaviors that God is clearly against. In fact, love means seeking what's best for the other person according to what God's will is, not my will, not what your will, but God's will. Now, when you side with pedophiles, when you side with child mutilators, when you side with abortionists and lawless behavior at the border, which of course enables sex traffickers, drug traffickers, and terrorists, then you actually are not, you're actually not doing your duty as a Christian or as a reasonable citizen.

I mean, who's hurting people more, J.K. Rowling for warning people about actual pedophiles, or you, Dr. Garrett, for berating the pedophile whistleblower, or the whistleblower J.K. Rowling who's exposing pedophiles? Who's hurting people more? I mean, Professor Garrett, you ought to be joining J.K. Rowling in berating the real criminals.

And by the way, what is your moral standard by which you judge any of this? It certainly isn't the Bible or Christianity. Jesus disagreed with behavior of many people, but that wasn't hate. It was love. Jesus called out sinful and harmful behavior, and that's what Rowling's been doing. J.K. Rowling provided evidence.

Look at the tweets. We have them in the show notes. That women and children are indeed being hurt by policies that allow biological men into women's spaces, policies that trans activists support, and I assume you support as well, Doctor Garrett, because you're calling her a hater for opposing this kind of behavior, and you're saying somehow it's hateful of trans people.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

Again, how is it hate to call out policies that hurt people, including children? How is that hate? I mean, we know what Jesus said in Matthew 18 verse 6, he says, "Whoever causes one of these little ones who believe in me to sin, it would be better for him to have a great millstone fastened around his neck, and to be drowned in the depth of the sea." Yet it seems to me, Professor Garrett, you are teaching policies and positions that are not only anti-biblical, but they hurt children.

I'd be very wary if I were you. Now, ladies and gentlemen, the reason Professor Garrett isn't making any sense is because he's defending cultural Marxism, not Christianity. For cultural Marxists, no trans person can ever be wrong, even if he sexually abuses children, because trans people are oppressed. You see, they're part of the oppressor group or the oppressed group, and someone like J.K. Rowling can almost never be right because Marxists or at least someone who's supporting Marxists like Professor Garrett have slapped more oppressor labels on her than oppressed labels.

I mean, cultural Marxists don't fairly judge Rowling on her personal behavior. She could literally be a saint. It doesn't matter. She's guilty because she doesn't agree with them and has the wrong non-behavioral identity. She's a rich, white, heterosexual citizen of the UK who also happens to notice that trans ideology is crazy and hurts women and children.

But prejudging people by non-behavioral identities is not only unbiblical and unjust, it's absolute poison to a community. And I'm going to unpack that further right after the break. You're listening to Frank Turek on I Don't Have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist. We're back right after this.

Ladies and gentlemen, this Monday night, October 12th, Columbus Day. We're going to be doing the War on Reality live at 7:30 p.m. You can tune in on our YouTube channel, and if you're anywhere near Charlotte, North Carolina, it will be at Freedom Church, Freedom House Church, I should say, in Cornelius. We're going to be talking about 10 reasons Christians should be involved in politics. We have the midterms coming up. If you want to learn more, go to voteyourfaith.net.

Voteyourfaith.net. It'll show you if you're registered or not and where the candidates stand. Anywhere you live, it works in all 50 states. Go to voteyourfaith.net for more. But we'll give you the rationale why Christians need to be involved in politics on the 12th. The next day, the 13th, Lord willing, we'll be at the University of Nebraska, in Lincoln. And then the following night we'll be at Northwestern Missouri University, also on campus there.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
.ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

Both of those will be live streamed as well. You can go to our website crossexamined.org for more. Then the following weekend I'll be at Green Valley Baptist Church near Tupelo, Mississippi. It's a town just to the west of Tupelo. It's called Pontotoc, Mississippi. I'll be there for the Sunday morning services and then Sunday night we're going to be doing I Don't Have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist there.

Then at RCR Racing on October 20th. That's going to be here in up near Winston-Salem. That's a men's event, and then hopefully I'm not sure about this yet, but we're trying to get a Make Heaven Crowded event in New Hampshire on the 21st. But tune in for the next podcast. I'll verify whether I'll be at that or not. All right, let's go back to what we're talking about here.

We're talking about Baylor University, which unceremoniously put so many administrative and financial roadblocks in front of us that we couldn't do the event last week, and so what we're now doing is looking into Baylor and say, why would they not want a Christian speaker who's just coming there to provide evidence for Christianity and answer questions? Why would they put so many blockades in our way?

Make it so difficult for us to come? Well, now I'm learning why, because it seems like the university is compromised. We're talking about courses and majors and professors who are clearly teaching anti-Christian doctrine. In fact, I pointed out just before the break that this cultural Marxism, where you judge people based on their non-behavioral identities, I said it's not only unbiblical and unjust; it's absolute poison to a community.

In fact, cultural Marxism is a theory of conflict. Why is it poison to a community? Because if you are pitting citizens in your community, you'll never have a common unity. If you're saying that people, regardless of their personal behavior. That certain people are evil based on non-behavioral characteristics like their race, like what sex they are, like how much money they have or where they're from.

If you're saying all those people are evil or good, depending on where they land on those identities, you're never going to have peace. You're just going to have con- perpetual conflict. Well, that's what the Marxists want. They want a revolution, and conflict just goes on and on and on. Now, ironically, this cultural Marxism business is exactly the opposite of real inclusion, tolerance, and diversity.

Look, you won't be included or tolerated unless you agree with us and have the identities we prefer. Now, if you look at what Professor Garrett's beliefs are, he would be excommunicated

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek PODCAST

from a Bible-believing church. Just look at 1 Corinthians 5. Paul is excommunicating a man who is involved in sexual sin. In order to save the guy, you got to get out of this church, and a little leaven leavens the whole loaf.

We can't have you approving this kind of bad sexual behavior to the whole group. If the little leaven leavens the whole loaf. So he says this guy needs to get out of the church. So Professor Garrett is someone who is pro-trans, pro-LGBTQ. He thinks we should use new racism to combat old racism. That's what cultural Marxism is.

You know, if you're white, you're automatically an oppressor. He thinks the government should not enforce immigration laws, and in doing so, what he's doing is confusing commands to individuals with commands to government. And both he and politicians like James Talarico do this. They misuse Bible verses. I don't know if it's intentional or not. Maybe they just don't understand how the Bible works. But they misuse Bible verses to make it seem like the government is supposed to do what Christians are commanded to be doing, such as, for example, taking care of the poor.

They think the government's supposed to do that, and if you oppose socialist handout programs because you think they enslave people, somehow you're not a Christian. No, the government, the Bible never commands the government to take care of the poor. It's Christians that ought to be doing that, and dangerously. They want no one to do what the Bible says the government should be doing, which is punishing wrongdoers.

So no law enforcement, no borders, because according to them that would be unloving. Now the truth is exactly the opposite. When you don't punish the guilty, you wind up punishing the innocent. Protecting the innocent is why God set up government to begin with. I mean, as James Madison famously said, "If men were angels, no government would be necessary." You need a government to protect innocent people from evil, and how do you do that?

You punish wrongdoers. If you don't punish wrongdoers, if you don't have borders, if you don't follow the law, if you're lawless, this is not the Christian worldview. Yet people like Garrett and Talarico seem to be advocating some of these policies. And it, it's madness actually if you really think about it. I mean, it may be all well-intended.

But if you don't have law enforcement, ladies and gentlemen, you don't have any of the other freedoms that you think you have. You don't have freedom of speech if there's no law enforcement, because somebody can just kill you if they don't like what you're saying. You don't

**CROSS
EXAMINED
.ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

have freedom of religion if there's no law enforcement. Somebody doesn't like your religion; they can just kill you. You don't have any of these. You can't do commerce faithfully in a lawless society.

And yet, whether they know it or not, they are advocating for certain amount of lawlessness. Now you might ask, if Professor Garrett is this unbiblical, why is he teaching at Baylor? Because Baylor professors don't need to sign any statement of faith; they just need to personally say that they are Christians or maybe even Jewish. And of course, being a member of a church helps.

Professor Greg Garrett claims to be a progressive Christian and he also claims to be clergy in the Anglican Church, a church that gave up biblical authority long ago. So apparently, now Professor Greg Garrett is the moral and theological authority, not Scripture, not Jesus and the apostles. Yet he's ostensibly, ostensibly, he's representing Christ to your kids.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, if you're a Christian, why would you donate to Baylor or send your kids there? It's often much more dangerous to send your kids to one of these compromised Christian schools than a secular university. A Christian kid will have his guard up at, say Berkeley, but not at Baylor. A wolf in shepherd's clothing, that's what's going on here.

It's not just a wolf in sheep's clothing. A professor who is at a Christian school who actually teaches unbiblical doctrines is not a wolf in sheep's clothes. He's a wolf in shepherd's clothing. That's far more dangerous than an atheist professor whom your kid knows is a wolf to his worldview. Your kid probably won't trust an atheist professor for theological or moral advice, but he might take that advice from someone who appears to be a shepherd.

Now, look, I'm not claiming that Professor Garrett or other woke professors at Baylor are insincere. Or are deliberately trying to mislead students, they probably think everything they are teaching is true. I'm only saying that they shouldn't be teaching at a Christian university. They'd be more aligned with Berkeley than Baylor, if Baylor truly wants to be a Christian university.

So, given these woke courses and woke degree programs and woke professors, maybe now you see why it's important for us to go to these schools and to present the truth, and perhaps why they didn't really want us there. Now, let me say this: the president of Baylor University is welcome to come on this program and explain any of this. I'll listen. We'll have a nice, friendly dialogue. I don't think that's going to happen, but the invitation's open.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

Now, there's a lot of questions that come up when you listen to a program like this, and that's what we're going to cover in the next podcast. If you're listening on the American Family Radio Network, you're not going to hear the next podcast on AFR. You've got to look for the I Don't Have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist podcast. But what we're going to cover in the midweek one, which is going to come out Tuesday, Lord willing, we're going to see how the Bible condemns judging people on identity like the cultural Marxists, Marxists do.

We're also going to see how Baylor's own courses help encourage the very violence that necessitates the need for extra security. The security they tried to charge us \$8500 for when they actually are on record as saying the max will be \$3500. We're also going to see how they're violating their own core values on inclusion and diversity. We have the receipts.

We'll also see what the purpose of a Christian university is and why Baylor might be allowing so much unbiblical teaching. And then we're going to consider objections. These objections, objections like Frank, who are you to say what, what is biblical Christianity and what isn't? I mean, can't Christians disagree and still be called Christians? I mean, we have all these denominations, why are you making such a big deal out of this? Can't we kind of have big tent Christianity?

And what about academic freedom? I mean, if you tell professors they have to sign a statement of faith, that they have to believe in a certain way, isn't that going to stifle research and learning? And wouldn't that be censorship? Ladies and gentlemen, this is what we're going to cover in part two. We'll review what we did today, and we're going to cover these objections and these questions in part two, because these are these are these are objections we got to deal with, all right?

And I want to be fair. I want to cover the situation here fairly. So again, if the president of Baylor wants to come on, I'm happy to talk about this. I'd love to be wrong about all of this. I'd love it if Baylor was a Christian school that you could send your kids to without reservations.

But it doesn't appear to be that way, tragically, ladies and gentlemen. And it's not just what's happened to us, as I've documented here, and what has happened in the past. Baylor is compromised, it seems to me. Again, I'm sure there's good courses; there's good professors there, Christian professors, and many students probably don't have any trouble. But don't call yourself a Christian school if you're serving steak and two sides of arsenic.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek PODCAST

That's not a Christian school. If you are good on some Christian doctrines but bad on other essential Christian doctrines, not a Christian school, ladies and gentlemen. And Paul says, "Watch your doctrine closely," and Baylor's not watching it in my view. Anyway, ladies and gentlemen, this weekend we're going to be at the SES Steadfast Conference just south of Charlotte here.

All the details are on our website. If you want to go to a real good Christian school, postgrad ses.edu, ses.edu. Check that out. We'll have some other recommendations in the next podcast, but look for us on Tuesday for the second edition of this expose on Baylor. See you then. God bless.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**

