

Baylor University: Wolves in Shepherd's Clothing?

October 13, 2026

FRANK:

Ladies and gentlemen, this is part two of a two-part series on Baylor University. That supposed Baptist school that gave us so much trouble in us trying to actually have an I Don't Have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist event there that we couldn't go; we had to back out. They put all sorts of fees on us and all sorts of restrictions on the event, as we highlighted in part one.

What I'm going to do now is deal with some of the objections that you might have concerning what I said and also deal with some of the other issues that are problematic at Baylor. We're going to see here how Baylor is actually teaching an anti-Christian viewpoint known as cultural Marxism, and the Bible condemns that.

We're going to go through some of the passages that show the Bible condemns that. We're going to talk about how Baylor's own courses encourage the very violence that necessitates the need for extra security there, and we're also going to see how they're violating their own core values on inclusion and diversity. We'll also talk about what is the purpose of a Christian university and why might Baylor be allowing these unbiblical teachings.

We'll deal with the objections like Frank, who are you to say what is Christian and what isn't? And if you're going to give the faculty a statement of faith, doesn't that kind of stifle research and learning, and wouldn't that be censorship? We're going to get into that. Let's start at the first point, regarding what they're teaching, and that is cultural Marxism and why the Bible or how the Bible condemns it.

Now, obviously, the Bible's written long before Marx. Marx is in the 1800s, but the principles of Marxism contradict the Bible. And as I mentioned last time when I was at the University of Georgia a few weeks ago, I asked this question. Is it fair and right to judge people by, say, their race, their sex, their religion, their origin, their finances, or should you judge people based on their behavior?

And everybody at the University of Georgia was in agreement; yeah, we shouldn't judge people on their race or religion or finances or origin. We should judge people based on their behavior. Yet cultural Marxism does exactly the opposite, and what I want to point out is that the Bible



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

leaves no room for that kind of thinking. And Martin Luther King, by the way, knew this. He said, "I have a dream that my four children one day will not be judged on the color of their skin, but on the content of their character." But cultural Marxism puts people in either an oppressed or oppressor category.

And just to review what we did last time, and by the way, this is all in the book, *The War on Reality*. And as I mentioned last time, one course there at Baylor is actually using a book that we researched in order to write *The War on Reality*. It was written by people who are affirming cultural Marxism, and we basically took the chart that they have in their book on cultural Marxism and put it with attribution in *The War on Reality* and what do cultural Marxists believe.

They believe, as I mentioned, that there are oppressors and there are oppressed. And who are the oppressors? Anybody who's white, owning class, male, heterosexual, a citizen, someone who is a Christian, someone who's able-bodied, somebody who is a white settler. If you have any of those identities, you're automatically an oppressor. By contrast, again, this is in the book *The War on Reality*, anybody who is a person of color, poor, middle class, LGBTQ, non-Christian.

Somebody who's disabled, an immigrant, bonus points if you came here illegally, you're automatically considered oppressed, regardless of your personal behavior. The Bible leaves no room for this. In fact, let's go through some of the passages here. We'll look at the Old Testament first because God believes in blind justice in the Old Testament and the New. Let's look at some passages in the Old.

Leviticus 19. If you just go to Leviticus 19. God spells all this out. He says this, Leviticus 19:15, He says, "Do not pervert justice. Do not show partiality to the poor or favoritism to the great, but judge your neighbor fairly." Very next verse: "Do not go about spreading slander among your people. Do not do anything that endangers your neighbor's life." I can't think of anything more slanderous than calling somebody a racist because the color of their skin, or calling somebody an oppressor because they have money, or calling somebody an oppressor because they're a Christian.

But yet that's what the cultural Marxists do. Verse 17 of Leviticus 19, "Do not hate a fellow Israelite in your heart. Rebuke your neighbor frankly so you will not share in their guilt." What? Yeah, rebuke your neighbor frankly so you will not share in their guilt? In other words, if you know somebody's doing something wrong and you don't rebuke them, you're actually sharing in their guilt. This is completely contrary to what our culture says.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
.ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

Oh, just tolerate everything. According to Leviticus, if you don't call out known sin, you're actually guilty. You'll share in their guilt. Verse 18: "do not seek revenge or bear a grudge against anyone among your people, but love your neighbor as yourself. I am the Lord." You thought love your neighbor Jesus invented? Well, he did because he wrote the entire Bible through the Holy Spirit, but it actually appears in the Old Testament too.

This is Leviticus 19:18. We can continue more in the Old Testament a little bit further down in Leviticus 19:33: "When a foreigner resides among you in your land, do not mistreat them. The foreigner residing among you must be treated as your native born. Love them as yourself, or you were foreigners in Egypt." So, it's not just Israelites you got to take care of, it's also the foreigner. Now, by the way, this does not condone illegal immigration.

This does not say that if somebody comes into your nation illegally, you have to allow them to stay. That's not what this is talking about. In the Bible, there are two words that are used in the Old Testament to distinguish between a legal immigrant and an illegal immigrant. This is talking about a legal immigrant here, and Dr. James Hoffmeyer, an Old Testament scholar, has done all the groundwork on this, but I don't have time to go back into that.

But the point here is that you're supposed to treat people fairly regardless of where they come from. Deuteronomy chapter 1 verse 17, "do not show partiality in judging. Hear both the small and the great alike. Do not be afraid of anyone, for judgment belongs to God." Deuteronomy 10:17: "for the Lord your God is a God of God is the God of gods and Lord of lords, the great, the mighty, and the awesome God, showing no partiality and taking no bribe." Okay.

Now we could spend another 20 minutes going through passages in the Old Testament talking about the fact that justice is blind, that we ought to be impartial, but we don't have time. Let's go to the New Testament. Here are some passages in the New Testament. Jesus says this in John 7:24: "Stop judging by mere appearances, but instead judge rightly, correctly." What does cultural Marxism do?

It judges by appearances. Oh, you're white, you're bad. You're colored. You're oppressed. You're a Christian. You're bad. You're a Muslim. You're good. Regardless of their personal behavior. God shows no partiality. Acts 10. God shows no favoritism. Romans 2. Galatians 3: "There shall be neither Jew nor Gentile, neither slave nor free, nor there is there male nor female.

You're all one in Christ Jesus." Now this is not saying that men and women no longer exist when you become a Christian. He's just saying that we ought not treat people unfairly because we're

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

all one in Christ. And then James 2 says, "My brother, show no partiality as you hold the faith in our Lord Jesus Christ, the Lord of glory." How about Peter? Peter says in 1 Peter 1, "Be holy because I am holy, since you call on a Father who judges each person's work impartially." Okay.

Both the Old and the New Testaments affirm impartiality. This is completely opposite to cultural Marxism, and cultural Marxism is being taught at Baylor University as if it's right and true, and you ought to follow it. Now, how do Baylor's own courses help encourage the very violence that necessitates the need for extra security?

Remember, they determined our, they termed our event as an expressive activity. And so they're on record in the Baylor newspaper for saying, "Well, you might have to pay up to \$3,500 in security fees." We had to pay, at the very last minute. they said, "Oh no, you'd have to pay \$8,500." Why? No explanation. No time to explain. It's happening the next business day. We just had to cancel.

So, what does Baylor say about violence on campus? Well, here's, here's what they say. Again, we have a link to this. It's on their website. We'll put it in the show notes. Quote: "Speakers who advocate violent rebellion and illegal resistance to the laws of the state and nation, or the rules of the university, should not be invited."

Speakers whose purposes and methods are basically contrary to the purposes and methods of a Christian university such as Baylor should not be invited. The use of profanity shall not be tolerated." Unquote. Okay, let's go back to the top of this. Speakers who advocate a violent rebellion, ladies and gentlemen, that's Marxism. That's what Marxists advocate. No, not every Marxist advocates this, but this is essentially what Marxism has been all about throughout history.

A violent rebellion. We need to throw off or overthrow the owning class. And the working class needs to do that. Now, it's been morphed into cultural Marxism over the past, say, few decades. So it's not just economic. Now it's about race. Now it's about sex. Now it's about religion and all these things.

And there are oppressors and oppressed. And Baylor has faculty on their staff that are advocating Marxism, which is all about rebellion. Now they might not come out and say, "Well, oh, we're for violence." But ladies and gentlemen, one major reason we personally need security on college campuses is because schools like Baylor are teaching the Marxist worldview

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

that smears some people as evil oppressors who ironically need to be oppressed in the name of the revolution, in the name of justice.

Is it any wonder that when you smear and label people as evil oppressors, when you call them fascists as people called Charlie Kirk, that you are creating the conditions that people use to justify violence? That's what you're doing. So, yeah, they're real big on profanity. Profanity will not be tolerated, but heresy is just fine, apparently, at Baylor.

Teaching anti-Christian worldview is just fine at Baylor. But as long as you don't curse doing it, now obviously I don't think, I don't think profanity should be allowed. I'm simply saying that maybe violence ought not be allowed either or teaching an anti-Christian worldview that Marxism is maybe that ought not be tolerated at Baylor either.

Oh, but what about academic freedom? We'll get there. We'll get there. Stand by. Now, next question: How is Baylor violating their own core values on inclusion and diversity? Well, first of all, can we just be honest about inclusion, tolerance, and diversity? I mean, we know that the words inclusion, tolerance, and diversity are words that are often used to get people to accept and celebrate sin.

That's often why they say inclusion, tolerance, and diversity. What they mean is, you need to tolerate, and eventually even celebrate sin. In fact, their statement on inclusion, tolerance, and diversity has a lot of, shall we say, kind of snowflake words in it.

Here's what they say: "One of the hallmarks of higher education is creating an environment in which individuals are presented with and educated through a marketplace of ideas, equipping them to determine their opinions and beliefs on issues and learn to express those convictions in informed and respectful ways. Baylor is committed to fostering an academic community that values the freedoms that create this marketplace of ideas and safeguards them, and to creating social community in which civil discourse and mutual respect are expected and encouraged." Well, buzzword: social community.

Often that means you need to affirm what someone in the community wants, particularly on the sexual issues. And they go on to say this: "These three actions lie at the core of our institutional values." Again, we're going to put the link to this in the show notes. "Number one, follow the commandment Jesus identified as being central to our lives as Christians: 'You shall love your neighbor as yourself.'" Oh, stop.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

I just noticed this. I just noticed it and as being central to our lives, what comes before that? That's not the greatest commandment. What's the greatest commandment? The greatest commandment is love God. Then the second is to love your neighbor as yourself. Oh, we conveniently left out love God because if you love God, you'll obey God.

Jesus said, "If you love me, you'll obey my commandments." But we're gonna just gonna skip that, and we're gonna go to just loving our neighbor. And loving your neighbor often means you agree with your neighbor, because love means approval, according to Marxists, except when they don't approve of you, that's okay. So, look, I want my neighbor.

Let's just take that. You should love your neighbor as yourself. Okay, I want my neighbor to judge me on my behavior. Not on non-behavioral identity groups that you've just slapped on me. This identity label that you've just unjustly slapped on me. How about that? If we're supposed to love our neighbor as ourselves, why you teaching Marxism?

Because Marxism doesn't love your neighbor as yourself. It says if you are a particular neighbor that has certain non-behavioral qualities or identities, oh, you're an oppressor. The second value that Baylor says is at the core of their institutional values, and this is for a caring community.

Now, before we get to the second value, caring often means that you need to approve of what people believe and what they do, which is not the Christian worldview, quite obviously. Anyway, here's the second value: "Practice respect in civil discourse with one another, in recognition that we each are a valued part of God's creation," unquote. Except when you are coming to campus to offer evidence for the Christian worldview, then you are identified as an expressive speaker or an expressive activity, who will be resisted at every turn.

I guess I'm not a valued part of God's creation because what happened to us? Now maybe it can be explained. Maybe we're just misunderstanding something. But what happened to us? We didn't really feel a valued part of God's creation. Sorry. And then finally, the third value they have listed is "Pray for each other," I'm always going to agree with that. "Pray for each other, and for our nation, even in times of uncertainty and divisiveness, focusing on how we can come together as the Baylor family and as God's children." Now I'd agree with most of that, but the last part, God's children.

I think maybe if we're a Christian school, before we should pray about coming together as God's children, we should first pray that we are God's children. That we are teaching our students the right theology, the right things, not anti-Christian, unbiblical cultural Marxism. And it seems to

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek PODCAST

me that Baylor is not committed to making sure that happens because they have people teaching these false worldviews on their faculty that not only contradict the Bible but divide people by non-behavioral identity groups.

I mean. Look, for all their talk about inclusion, tolerance, and diversity, Baylor's support for cultural Marxism negates it all. Cultural Marxism doesn't include or tolerate diverse people. It pits them against one another by judging them on non-behavioral identities. It's the opposite of inclusion. It ruthlessly divides and judges people before they've said or done a thing.

In fact, it's poison. Speaking of poison, let's go back to the prophet Amos in the Old Testament, who is trying to correct the northern kingdom of Israel because they were being unjust. Here's what he said. This is Amos 6:12. He says, "You have turned justice into poison, and the fruit of righteousness into bitterness." Ladies and gentlemen, I submit to you that cultural Marxism is poison.

It's not justice. It's unjust because when you're putting people into these categories artificially, independent of their own personal behavior, that's not justice. That's poison. It pits people against one another. It is not Christian. And yet, Baylor is teaching this as if it's true. I mean, to show you how poisonous it is.

Remember, I mentioned that according to cultural Marxists, that you're an oppressor if you're a white owning class male heterosexual Christian able-bodied citizen who is a white settler. You know who fit every one of those categories, or every one of those identities? Charlie Kirk. People were calling him a fascist. A fascist. Yeah, we all know a fascist tactic that we learned from Mussolini was to hand your opponent the microphone.

Have him come to the front of the line, hand him the microphone, and say, "I'll listen quietly. Please tell me your case. Then we'll have a discussion." That's what fascists always do. No, newsflash, ladies and gentlemen. The guy that murdered Charlie is the fascist, and yet this kind of teaching is being taught at Baylor University and many other universities around the country. But Baylor claims to be Christian, and you're teaching this?

Why? Okay, what is the purpose of a Christian university? Well, it's the same purpose we all have in life. The purpose in life, the purpose of life is to know God through Jesus and to make Him known. That's John 17:3, and adding the Great Commission to it to make disciples of all nations. And a university in general is supposed to show students how to find unity and diversity.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

How do all of these different academic disciplines fit into one big rubric of truth? How does physics, biology, chemistry, psychology, sociology, anthropology, et cetera? How do they fit under one big umbrella of truth? That's how you find unity in diversity. But unfortunately, many universities today don't teach finding unity in diversity.

They teach sort of fragmentation, which is what, which is what postmodernism is. That there is no overarching truth. There is no one big umbrella of truth. This stuff isn't supposed to fit together. And what you do when you really dive into some of these disciplines, especially if you go for the PhD, you wind up learning more and more about something so minuscule that you don't get the big picture.

You might know the mating habits of the African of the South African fruit fly, but you don't know the purpose of life. You don't know how that fits into the big picture. At a university, you're supposed to get that. And what is the queen of all of those academic disciplines? No, no, it's not physics. That's materialism. No, the queen of all sciences, and science just means knowledge.

The queen of investigation is theology. Why? Because theology asks the biggest question, the most important question to mankind: Does God exist? And if so, what does He want us to do? That's what theology does. And then, it shows you how all these academic disciplines fit under this one big idea that God does exist.

You are not Him. You're fallen. You need a Savior. Jesus came to save us, and all of these other disciplines are there to help us know reality. To know God and to make Him known is why we're here, and that's what certainly a Christian university ought to be doing. And maybe a question we can all ask ourselves is: Are we following Christ or are we following culture?

If a school is following culture more than Christ, why call it a Christian university? Are you following facts or are you following feelings? If you're following feelings, why go to any school? Why not just follow your feelings everywhere? Well, you know, if you did that, you wouldn't live very long. But many people think if you feel a certain way, it's right and good, and you ought to follow it. You ought to follow your heart. The worst advice of the past century to people, psychological advice, is follow your heart.

No, no, no. You don't follow your heart. You follow the truth. Your heart is deceitful and wicked. We've talked about this on this program many times before. If you follow your heart without

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

reason or without moral restraint, you're going to wind up in a very dark place. If you follow your heart without reason and moral restraint, I know what's going to happen to you.

You're gonna wind up divorced, addicted, broken, alone, and probably prematurely dead. You've got to follow facts, not feelings. And a school is supposed to give you facts, so you can live a life that, especially a Christian school, helps you know God and to make Him known. And by the way, the foundation of all education is truth, veritas, in Latin.

In fact, that's part of Harvard's original charter. It's part of their seal, Veritas. And John Harvard, by the way, was a clergyman. Harvard was started to teach young men how to preach, to know God and Jesus Christ. John 17:3. Of course, it's fallen so far away from that it's unrecognizable. But that's what you're supposed to do, and especially at a Christian university, if you're not willing to defend the truth, you're not an educator, you're a deceiver.

And since the truth sets people free, then when you teach lies or refuse to defend what is true, you're actually keeping people in bondage. Because Jesus said, "You shall know the truth, and the truth will set you free." That implies if you don't have the truth, you're in bondage. So a Christian university should be about truth, should be about following Jesus, should be about making little Christs.

And when a Christian university doesn't do that, then it is not really a Christian university. And I wouldn't want to be an instructor at any of these schools, a faculty member, if I wasn't teaching truth. James warns us, the apostle James in James 3:1, he said, "Not many of you should become teachers, my fellow believers, because you know that we who teach will be judged more strictly," especially with young people, as we pointed out from Matthew 18 in the last session, Jesus said, "If you mislead one of these young people that believe in me, it'd be better to have a millstone hung around your neck and you thrown in the sea." You're going to be judged.

So the purpose is to know God through Jesus and to make Him known and to make little Christs. That's what the purpose of a Christian university is. It's also the purpose of life. Oh, what isn't it all about getting a job? Well, it helps you get a job, but no, we don't believe in the Soviet model that you are just workers, and all we're supposed to do is make you good at some sort of widget making or service.

No, we're supposed to make you a better Christian citizen at a Christian university. A better disciple of Jesus. You don't do that when you're teaching doctrines that are anti-biblical. Now

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek PODCAST

you say, "Well, wait, wait, Frank, what about academic freedom? You're really coming down hard on Baylor. Don't they have academic freedom?" They'll say, "Oh, this is an academic environment." They'll say, "So we want openness and academic freedom." Well, here's my response: There is never an excuse, including academic freedom, to promote sin, especially when teaching others.

And sorry, cultural Marxism is sin. It's not treating people impartially. Again, it's treating people based on their non-behavioral characteristics. At least most of them are non-behavioral. There's never an excuse. That's not academic freedom. And a Christian university doesn't give you or shouldn't give you academic freedom to teach heresy, to teach things that are wrong, that we know are wrong. You know, and by the way.

Once you've used good reasons to establish that Christianity is true, you shouldn't be using bad reasons to doubt it, or bad reasons to mislead people away from Christianity. Now, I think, and I think when it comes to academic freedom, I think it would be good to teach worldviews and arguments against Christianity at Baylor.

I mean, that's what we learned at SES, at Southern Evangelical Seminary, ses.edu. We learned what the evolutionists and the atheists and the Marxists and all of these different philosophers who were anti-Christians said. We knew what they were saying. In fact, Dr. Norman Geisler, who, by the way, we have a course that's underway right now. You can take it if you want to in a self-paced way.

It's called The History of Philosophy from the actual name of the course is The History of Ancient and Medieval Philosophy. So you go from the pre-Socratics all the way up to, say, about Aquinas, from about say 500 or 400 BC all the way to about 1200 AD, and he teaches you all these different viewpoints that philosophers have had. And Geisler was so good at steel-manning the arguments of people who were anti-Christian.

Atheists or other worldviews that when he got done telling you what they believed, you sat there going, "Gee, this guy sounds right. Yet I know it contradicts Christianity. How's Geisler going to get out of this?" And then he would calmly point out the faults in their thinking, and you go, "Oh man, why didn't I see that?" So faculty at a Christian university should steel man these anti-Christian arguments, but then point out why they are wrong.

They should not be teaching and advocating false worldviews and bad arguments against Christianity, but that's what we find at Baylor. Not everybody, again. Again, there's still good

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek PODCAST

professors and good courses at Baylor, but I'm saying there should be nobody at Baylor who's teaching doctrine and advocating for doctrine that's unbiblical. When they do that, Baylor is not leading.

It is misleading. It's misleading students. Again, at Judgment Day, I wouldn't want to be one of those instructors. Now, look, if you don't agree with clear Christian teaching, fine, that's fair. But don't call yourself a Christian university. If you're a Christian university, you should be teaching the truth of Christianity and how it applies to every area of life. Are you making little Christ by helping students conform to the image of Christ?

When Baylor claims the name of Christ, but then advocates for positions that contradict Christ it mis- it misrepresents what Christianity is. This is a kind of identity theft, and they would never tolerate identity theft if I stole their identity. If I stole Baylor's identity, for example, if I said that all 40 of the online courses that we teach here at crossexamined.org are actually Baylor University courses, Baylor would rightly send me a pointed letter charging me with impersonating Baylor University.

You know, cease and desist, right? Well, as we've seen, Baylor is impersonating a Christian university, because Baylor has courses and even degrees that are advocating positions that clearly contradict the Bible. In fact, many books of the Bible, from the Old Testament prophets to the letters we call epistles to the book of Revelation, are pointed warnings and letters warning Israel, or pointed writings and letters warning Israel and people claiming to be Christians to stop doing exactly what Baylor is doing, and that's contradicting what God has said.

So, what Baylor doing, what Baylor's doing is not mere hypocrisy, you know, trying but failing to follow Christ, because in that sense we're all hypocrites. You know, none of us follow Jesus or the Bible perfectly, and that's why we need a Savior, right? No, that's not what Baylor's doing. It's far worse than that. Baylor's not trying but failing to obey Christ. Some at Baylor are actually trying to disobey Christ by promoting doctrines and theories that are clearly contrary to Christ while claiming His name.

Jesus had a warning for those people: "Away from me! I never knew you. You claimed you followed me, but you really weren't." Again, would not want to be them at Judgment Day. And on a practical level, would you go to a Christian school that was teaching that atheism is true? No, of course you wouldn't. So why would you send your kids to a school that's teaching that Marxism is true, which is just another brand of atheism?

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

Marxism is atheistic. What? Why would you go there? Look, if the school is teaching about Marxism, that's good. But if it's teaching that Marxism is true and its implications you ought to follow, that's bad. That's heresy. Maybe that's too strong a word, but it's false teaching. Let's put it that way. Now, speaking of courses, by the way, we have a new online course coming up.

Brady Blevins is teaching the course Conversations with the Faiths. It starts November 2nd, I think. Go to crossexamined.org. It's going to help you better to interact with people who are Jehovah's Witnesses, Mormons, and Muslims. It's a great course. He's going to be teaching it. In the premium version, where you'll get on with Brady and ask questions and interact in addition to watching a lot of video and taking the tests and taking the notes and all that, so check out at crossexamined.org the course called Conversations with the Faiths.

Go to crossexamined.org click on online courses; you'll see it there, and about the other 40 courses we have there. And ladies and gentlemen, at least to my knowledge, what we're trying to do here is only teach courses that are affirming of Christian doctrine because we think Christian doctrine is true, and many of our courses, of course, are showing why Christianity is true, and that's what Baylor ought to be doing, but they're not.

Yes, they have some good courses, but many of them are not. Oh, you say, Frank, "Well, what about the big tent Christianity? I mean, who are you to say that you know Baylor can't teach these things and be called a Christian school?" Well, ladies and gentlemen, we're not talking about denominational differences on secondary issues. We're talking about essential issues dealing with sin and salvation.

Look, a Christian university can certainly accept different views on secondary or tertiary issues like eschatology, the end times, or on God's sovereignty and human responsibility. You know, is Calvinism right? Is Molinism right? Is Arminianism right? You know, we're not going to separate over those things. Or sacraments like baptism and communion, or on church government people have different church governments or on whether the sign gifts are still active today.

You know, you'll have professors who agree they are and others who say they don't. That's fine. That's totally within the realm of orthodoxy. But a church or a Christian university cannot accept or teach different views on scripturally clear issues regarding sexuality and sin. I mean, it can't accept as true and good homosexuality, adultery, same-sex marriage, no-fault divorce, abortion, castrating children, and calling it gender-affirming care.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

It can't accept lawlessness. In fact, that's what the antichrist is going to be, the man of lawlessness. Oh, we have no borders. Oh no, we ought not enforce our immigration law. That's lawless. Now it's not loving to promote such views because they are false, they're harmful, and they can prevent people from inheriting the kingdom of God. I mean, just read 1 Corinthians 6.

In other words, promoting those sins as good leaves people in an unrepentant state, which lands them in hell. I mean, some people want sin more than they want Jesus, and it's a travesty when a Christian university gives them excuses to stay in their sin. But that's what Baylor's doing. They had a pro-LGBTQ event in April of 2026, as we pointed out, pointed out in the last show, and they have professors on there who are pro-LGBTQ in the classroom and online, even though they have a policy that says students ought not be advocating these anti-biblical views.

Yet their own administration and their own faculty support these views. Look, woke Baylor faculty are not wolves in sheep's clothing. They are something far worse. They are wolves in shepherd's clothing. That's far worse, because your kid is probably not going to take theological or moral advice from a professor he or she knows as an atheist at say Berkeley.

But he might take theological and moral advice from someone at what is supposed to be a Christian school in shepherd's clothing. When that person is really a wolf, they're not obeying the clear teachings of Scripture. Now, finally, why would Baylor do this? Why would any school do this? Why is Baylor allowing false teachings and teachers?

Well, probably the same reason any of us are tempted to sin: sex, money, or power. I mean, donations. They get grants, as we mentioned earlier, to actually move away from orthodoxy. They also want to attract prestigious faculty, and you're going to attract prestigious faculty if you're not seen as the fundamentalist school.

You know, you want to be seen as elite in the eyes of the elite. So Baylor may be shedding theological constraints for the sake of receiving accolades from the secular academic world. Yeah, they're selling out to the world in order to get the applause of the world. Well, you're more afraid apparently of what the world says about you than what Jesus says about you.

Just read the letters to the churches in Revelation when they got away from their first love. Not pretty. Also, the university system is more than just an education; it's also a business and a popularity contest that has sports programs, marketing, politics, community engagement, investors, and more.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

And so, when we understand how a university as an institution works, there's a dozen different layers of salesmanship and persuasion. There's political clout. You know, it becomes more evident why a Christian school might be tempted to compromise about some offensive doctrine to the culture or unpopular teaching. The pressure is enormous. Okay, if you want to sell out to the culture, fine, but don't call yourself a Christian school then, because you're not.

You're compromised. Now, my mentor, Dr. Norman Geisler, said, "You know, it's really easy to go liberal. It's easy to agree with what people want, not what God wants for us." He said, "Look, if we're not diligent, our own school, Southern Evangelical Seminary, will go liberal." SES.edu. You have to be diligent, because there's enormous pressure to give in to the god of this world.

Satan. There's enormous pressure to change cheerleaders, to go from Christians cheering you on to the world cheering you on. Enormous pressure. There's financial pressure. There's sex pressure. Oh, wouldn't it be nice just to get rid of all these sexual restrictions so we can care for people and affirm what they want to do and make them happy?

Wouldn't it be nice to get more money? If we, foreseen as kind of the Notre Dame of, of, of Protestant schools. Yeah, yeah, there's a lot of temptation there. But as Jesus said, when Satan tried to tempt him with those kinds of things, he went to Scripture.

He didn't give in, and we shouldn't give in either. Now. What are some schools that don't appear to be compromised, like Baylor? Well, I haven't researched them all, but I'll give you some schools that I think are not. Certainly, I know SES is not. That's more of a seminary, though. That's what you do after you go to college. Although they do have a degree for people that already have an associate, so you can go from, say, a two-year degree to a bachelor's degree and then on to a master's and even doctorate.

That's completely online now, though. You can take courses from anywhere, ses.edu. I think Liberty generally does a good job. It's a big school. Maybe somebody will find something there that is not a or is an unbiblical teaching. I'd love to hear about if you find something. But as far as I know, Liberty's pretty sound. Hillsdale, which is not a Christian school, by the way, but it's more a conservative school.

They don't even take any government money. They're not tempted in that regard. I understand Houston Christian, I know they have some good faculty down there. These are some schools you may want to look into. I'm sure there's several others. In fact, if you think there are good Christian schools out there, I'd like to hear about them. Send me a note at

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek PODCAST

hello@crossexamined.org. Hello@crossexamined.org. I can't check into all of them. I was just motivated to check into Baylor because they really didn't seem to be very Christian in dealing with us.

And what I found was shocking, and I wish I was wrong about it, but it doesn't appear like I am. I wish Baylor was more loyal to Jesus than they appear to be loyal to the culture. Now again, the president of Baylor University or some representative is welcome to come on this program and explain all this. The invitation stands.

Just contact us at crossexamined.org. I'd love to be wrong. I'd love to be corrected. Unfortunately, it doesn't appear that I am wrong by looking at your own literature, by looking at your own faculty, by looking at your own course catalog. And looking at your own actions in dealing with us and others. So, just contact us.

Love to talk more about it, and be corrected. But as it stands now, I could never recommend Baylor. They're far too compromised. Ladies and gentlemen, a little update. Right now it is Monday afternoon at about 3:40 p.m. Eastern Time. We recorded this session and the one before last Thursday.

I still haven't heard anything from Baylor University officially. I didn't really expect to, but I have had many people email me and say that they've sent emails to the Office of the President of Baylor and let me extend the invitation again. If the president of Baylor or some representative wants to come on this podcast, we'll have a friendly conversation.

If they want to explain what's going on, maybe I'm missing something. That would be great. But since then, we've discovered a few issues that I want to bring your attention to. I mentioned last time that there is a statement from Baylor University on their website called Human Sexuality Frequently Asked Questions, and the very first question is, "What is Baylor's policy stance on human sexuality?" I'm going to read a paragraph here from it.

I read some of it on the previous podcast, but I just want to go a little bit deeper because I've found some more incongruities here. Here's what they say: "Sexual relations of any kind outside of marriage between a man and a woman are not in keeping with the teaching of Scripture, as understood by the preponderance of Christian congregations and denominations throughout history, including the 5,000-plus churches affiliated with the Baptist General Convention of Texas." By the way, that's the one that Baylor is part of, and then it goes on to say this: "Therefore, as a matter of moral commitment and Christian witness, all members of the

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

university community are expected to abide by Baylor's Sexual Conduct Policy and to respect this understanding of sexual relationships." Okay, fair enough.

That makes sense. The problem here, however, is they go on in this statement a little bit further down to say that they are going to support LGBTQ students in a way that seems to affirm their sexual, not just orientation, but their behavior.

And there's a whole section on here called Where can LGBTQ students go for support and community? And so they recommend the chaplain's office, they recommend the Baylor Counseling Center, they talk about civil rights and Title 9, and they say, "The University is committed to ensuring that these support services are deserving of students' trust. Additionally, there are many caring and trusted faculty and staff across campus, as well as resources and informal support groups, with the greater Waco community." What do they mean by support groups?

What does that mean? Because if their statement at the of human sexuality, I just mentioned a minute ago, is to say that students should refrain from this kind of sexual behavior, does support group mean to try and help you not engage in that behavior, or to affirm that you are going to engage in that behavior, and you just need people to come alongside you and sort of give you moral support for doing so, it seems the latter.

Why? Because the very next question, it's number seven, it's frequently asked questions about human sexuality. The very next question says, "Are there welcoming and affirming churches in the Waco area?" And then they say, "The GALIP Foundation provides an affirming church directory on their website" and they link to it. Now, if this isn't contradictory, ladies and gentlemen, I don't know what is.

Why would on one hand they say everybody who is affiliated with Baylor, the students, should be sexually chaste according to the Bible, yet say they support LGBTQ students to the point where they're recommending that they go to affirming churches in the Waco area. I mean, what am I missing here? They're speaking with forked tongue here.

It's like, well, we kind of have to say this theologically, but let's not actually apply the theology to our lives. Let's just say we believe it and then do something else. And then they have these student groups, even though they said in that statement on human sexuality that students ought not engage in such behavior, they have these student groups which are advancing same-sex behavior.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

One of them is called Texas Rising, and here's the description of the group. The group organizes college students to build civic power, register voters, and advocate for public education, religious freedom, democracy, LGBTQ+ inclusion, and social justice. Now, those last two parts there, as we pointed out in this podcast and the one previous to, are contrary to biblical commands, but they're promoting them anyway.

Then they have the Queer Student Alliance. Somehow I don't think the Queer Student Alliance is saying, "Yes, we have this orientation, but we're not going to act on it." The Queer Student Alliance is an LGBTQ+ student organization at Baylor University that functions as a support network and advocacy group. Time out. They just said in the in in the student.

I mean, in the human sexuality statement, that students are not expected to advocate for this kind of behavior. Yet they have a student group that's doing so, the Queer Student Alliance, and then they have another group called PRISM, the Queer Student Alliance. Once again, well, maybe this is the same one now that I look at it. This might be a different group, PRISM, but I think it's also a group that is part, that is consistent with the Queer Student Alliance, which is advocating this kind of behavior.

So, all I'm saying here, friends, is as I look further and further into this, it couldn't be more apparent, unless I'm completely missing, and I don't think that's the case, unless I'm completely missing something here. Baylor says one thing in their doctrine, but does another thing with regard to advocating for behavior that contradicts the Christian doctrine that they say they believe.

And this is why I said before, it'd be probably better to send your kid to a secular school where you don't get this kind of forked tongue language, because a kid is probably, if he or she is grounded in Christianity, is probably not going to be persuaded for moral or theological advice from, say, UC Berkeley. But they could be persuaded for moral and theological advice from someone they think is actually a Christian, like a Baylor professor who just says they believe this stuff but actually teaches opposite.

Anyway, friends, I hope to hear more from you on this. I wish I was wrong about all this. I'd love it if Baylor was a faithful Christian school teaching Christian doctrine and encouraging students to adhere to it. But it doesn't seem to be that way. Do you think, by the way, the Apostle Paul or any of the apostles, on one hand, would say, "Flee sexual immorality," on the other hand, and say, "Well, we do have these churches around the world that if you don't like that, you should

**CROSS
EXAMINED
.ORG**



I don't have enough **FAITH**
to be an **ATHEIST**

with Dr. Frank Turek PODCAST

go join them, and that's just fine with us." No, this would be completely against the biblical commands to flee sexual immorality, not to actually advocate for sexual immorality.

But that is what Baylor University is doing, and as we pointed out so many times on this program, the three major temptations we all have that really encourage us to sin are sex, money, and power. We all need to check ourselves on that, and it seems like Baylor has succumbed at least to one, if not more, of those temptations. All right, friends, great being with you.

Let's go back to the program. All right, folks, if you're listening to this on Tuesday tonight, we're going to be at University of Nebraska Lincoln. You can watch the feed on our YouTube channel if you're anywhere near Lincoln, love to have you there. It's open to the public. Next night, tomorrow, let's see what dates are we talking about here. The 13th, 14th, the 14th, I think it is, is going to be at Missouri Northwest Missouri University.

Again, that will be live streamed. Yeah, this Sunday I'll be at Green Valley Baptist Church in Pontotoc, Mississippi. I don't know if I pronounced that right, but it's just to the west of Tupelo, not too far from Memphis actually. And that'll be the morning services and evening service with a lot of Q&A. We're going to be doing I Don't Have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist. Then on the 20th here in Winston-Salem University or not Winston-Salem University but in Winston-Salem in North Carolina, we're going to be at RCR Racing, that's Richard Childress Racing, and it's a men's group.

That's going to be on our website. If you want to be a part of that, love to see you there. We already got 500 men signed up for that, and then maybe the next night we're going to be at Make Heaven Crowded in New Hampshire. But even if I'm not going to be there, if you're up in near Manchester, New Hampshire, go to TPUSA and find out the exact church it's going to be at. That's going to be on the 21st of October.

All right, friends, it's been great being with you. Don't forget about the online course. Ladies and gentlemen. I just looked it up. PRISM is a club at Baylor University that was just approved in 2022. It is pro-LGBTQ. It's an advocacy group for the LGBTQ community, which of course contradicts what Baylor says in their statement on sexuality.

All right, friends, if you have any pushback on what I've said, or you're a Baylor student or faculty member, and you have some more information that will be helpful to this conversation, just email me at hello@crossexamined.org. Hello@crossexamined.org. All right, thanks.

**CROSS
EXAMINED
ORG**

