

PODCAST

Fired for Faith? Media Deception? Frank Ignoring Facts? Plus Q&A

(October 25, 2024)

Questions, questions, questions. Ladies and gentlemen, you sent in questions to hello@crossexamined.org. I'm sorry we can't get to all of them, but we're going to get to some of them today. Let me start right off the bat with a teacher in a public school. His name is Ramon, and he writes in, and he says:

Dear Frank, I've been a listener for years and your ministry led me down the rabbit hole that is apologetics. I'm a public school teacher. I teach chemistry, and I was reading 'Correct, Not Politically Correct' last night when you talked about re-engaging society and being a beacon for Christ. How can I do that in my setting? Where I work, it's not like other crazy public school systems. It's a conservative county, but not everyone is. I love my students as Christ would love the church. I try and serve them and be a mentor. But if the Gospel pops up in a conversation or the opportunity arises, can I get in trouble for talking about the Gospel?

Let me stop right here. Yeah, you might. [Laughter] You might get in trouble, but there's a way where you probably won't. So, all right, he continues. He says, all of my tattoos are visible and are all about Christianity. Lion and lamb, armor of God. He came, died, resurrected, ascended and He's coming back symbols.

So, if a kid starts the conversation off first with a question, don't I have immunity (If that's the right word), since the student asked me about it? I hope this makes sense. I tried to type it up the best I could. Okay, so let me say yes. As I understand it, Ramon, you can discuss these issues if you're asked.

But if you want to be super safe about it, I would go to the Alliance Defending Freedom website. Just Google them, Alliance Defending Freedom. I'm sure they probably have guidelines up there. And look, if someone were to ever create a problem because you talked about Jesus or the Gospel, it probably wouldn't go anywhere other than, hey, don't do that again.







PODCAST

So, unless you're asked, if you're asked, as I understand it, yeah, you can talk about any of these issues. Now, here's the real interesting and sad thing about our public education system. The most important question to any person or any society, any community is, does God exist? Why? Because if God exists, then how we live is set out for us if we're going to follow God.

If God doesn't exist, how we live is really just up for grabs. There is no objective right way to live life or wrong way to live life. Because if there is no God, there is no purpose. And if there is no purpose, there is no morality. There is no rightness or wrongness. Everyone just does what is right in his own eyes as what the end of the book of Judges says.

So, the most important question any of us can ask or any society or civilization can ask is, does God exist? After that, the next question is, oh, He does exist? What has He said? What does He want us to do? So, we should be asking those questions. In fact, Mortimer Adler, who edited the Great Book Series, he was a brilliant guy who became a Christian late in life, was once asked the question, why does the God section in the Great Book Series, why is it the largest section in the Great Book Series?

And he said, well, I suppose you could say because the question 'does God exist?' has more implications on human life than any other question. And he's right or was right. And then after you answer the question, okay, who is this God? What has He said? What does He want us to do? Here's the problem with our current education system. Our current education system assumes the answer to the question 'does God exist?' is no, despite the fact that our country began with a rousing declaration that the answer is yes.

We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men were created equal and endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights. Among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. Yes, our country was founded on theism. If you don't like that, you can go somewhere else. But it was founded on theism because our founders wanted the stability and the justification for objective human rights, objective moral rights without having the intolerance that might be associated with a sectarian religion.

They were all Christians, or at least most of them were. There may be a few deists in there.

There were no Muslims, they were Judeo Christian or Judeo Christian theism, mostly Christian







PODCAST

theism was assumed in our country. But they didn't want to say you had to be a Baptist, you had to be a Catholic, you had to be a Methodist, whatever.

They didn't want denominationalism, and they didn't want a national church. As we've mentioned before, some of the states had their own state churches, but the Fed, the federal government didn't want to have a state church. So, our country was founded on theism so we could have objective moral rights that come from God, but not any possibility of the intolerance that might come with a set denomination for the entire nation.

So, here's one thing you can do, Ramon, is you can talk about the Declaration of Independence. The Declaration of Independence is not unconstitutional in our schools. So, you can always bring this up and point out that the Declaration of Independence assumes there's a Creator, a divine judge of the world, divine providence, who gives us these rights, endows us with these rights, and that governments are instituted to secure these rights.

And if you read the Declaration of Independence, you realize that the Declaration is basically one big, long list of complaints about how King George was legislating immorally. He was violating the rights of the colonists. And so, the people, therefore, of the colonies have a right to a new government to declare their independence and establish their independence basically on God.

And they say in the Declaration of Independence, after they list all of these abuses of King George, they say, we submit these facts to a candid world and the Supreme Judge of the universe. So, our country is founded on God. You're never going to be, at least not legitimately transgressing any sort of law when you talk about God in the public school system if you're referencing the Declaration of Independence.

Since you're a chemistry professor, I might also suggest that you ask the students, why do the laws of chemistry exist? Why do the natural laws exist that allow chemistry to even be possible? Where do laws come from? Laws come from lawgivers. A corollary to this question is why is the universe fine-tuned, or the laws of nature fine-tuned to allow chemistry to even be possible?







PODCAST

In fact, that's one of the arguments that theists, Christians and even other theists give to show that the universe is fine-tuned. That if you were to change the laws of nature themselves or the constants within the laws of nature, virtually imperceptibly, not only would there be no life anywhere in the universe, particularly here on Earth, there wouldn't even be chemistry.

In other words, without certain laws, you might have nothing more than helium or hydrogen. There wouldn't be the periodic table of elements that we now know. That periodic table is dependent on the laws of nature being what they are, precisely what they are. And not only are those laws fine-tuned, they're sustained. Why don't the laws of nature change?

You know, the physical world that is governed by these laws, those physical things change. Why don't the laws that govern those physical things change? Because these laws are sustained. They're sustained by a mind. In other words, God just doesn't create or intervene when He does miracles. He sustains the very laws that allow us to exist and allow us to do science.

And if you want a book on this where I deal with this. Ramon, get the book 'Stealing from God' because I cover this in several chapters, that our very ability to do science, to do chemistry, to do anything physical is built on these laws of nature. And these laws of nature are fine-tuned and sustained, apparently, it seems, by a mind, an ordered mind that keeps everything orderly.

All right, we have several more questions on today's edition of I Don't have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist on the American Family Radio Network. Also check out our website, crossexamined.org. Back in two minutes.

Hi, I'm Frank Turek, your host here at I Don't have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist. Not just a radio program, ladies and gentlemen, also a podcast. And for those of you listening on the American Family Radio Network, the great AFR, please know that we actually do another podcast during the week. I've got more questions coming in. Since it is an election year and we've talked on previous programs about election issues, I've got this from J.P.

J.P. writes in. He says, I've enjoyed watching your videos and hope you could explain from an apologetic point of view why Christians should not accept someone like Trump as their president because of his lies, convictions, and bullying. If Christians support him, other non-







PODCAST

Christians will see that we are okay with that. I don't understand why someone so well versed in what facts and truth is can support Trump or be okay with Christians shaming other Christians for voting for Harris and that I'm going to Hell because of my vote???

And he puts a bunch of question marks after that. Okay, J.P. First of all, let's deal with going to Hell. Okay? You're not going to Hell because you make an unwise choice in the voting booth. Hell is for people who have sinned, which of course is for all of us, but have not accepted the pardon that Jesus provides. The reason Jesus is the only way to salvation is because there is no other way, an infinitely just being, i.e. God, can allow unjust creatures like me and you to go unpunished.

If He did, then He wouldn't be the infinite standard of justice. So, He has to find an innocent substitute who will voluntarily take our punishment upon Himself. Where can He find an innocent substitute? Not in any one of us. We're all guilty. He has to be the innocent substitute. So, He adds humanity to his deity, comes to earth, lives the perfect life in our place, allows the creatures who He created who have also sinned to torture and kill Him so He could take our punishment upon himself.

And then by trusting in Him, you're not only forgiven, you're given His righteousness. So, that's why Jesus is the only way. It's not an arbitrary claim. It's built in the very nature of justice and love, that an infinitely just being can't allow unjust creatures to go unpunished. So, what does He do? He punishes an innocent substitute in our place. That's why Paul says in Romans 3:26 that God remains just and is the justifier of the one who has faith in Jesus, the one who trusts in Jesus.

So, you're not going to Hell because you make an unwise choice, or you sin if you've accepted the pardon Jesus provides. Hell is for those who fail to get justified by Christ, not for those who sin or make unwise choices after being justified. Sins may cause you to lose rewards in heaven, but they won't send you to Hell if you've been justified by Christ.

Okay? Now, let's deal more with the voting part of this. Look, when you get to a general election, you've got really only one of two viable choices. You've got to pick the best or least worst available choice. And I did not vote for Trump in the primary. I didn't think he was the







PODCAST

best candidate. I voted for Desantis. Somehow Desantis didn't get the traction I thought he would. But here we are.

Now, both of the candidates have flaws personally. You know that; we all know that. But you need to remember you're not voting for one person. As I've said before, you're voting for 5,000 people to go to Washington and implement an agenda. Both candidates have moral failings in the past. This one reason I won't endorse a candidate, because if you endorse a candidate, it implies you agree with that candidate on everything.

It implies you agree with them on what they've done in the past, what they're doing now, what they might do in the future. And I'm not going to be hamstrung by that, because I don't agree with either of these candidates on every issue or everything they do in their personal life.

However, I will endorse policies. And what we ought to do as Christians is endorse policies that protect innocent people from evil. That's the primary reason a government is put into place to protect innocent people from evil. That's, that's Romans 13:4. We've talked about it before. The secondary reason is to promote the good. And you've got to vote policy over personality.

Policy will outlast personalities and both candidates have a policy record. You can look at the last four years with Kamala Harris and you can look at the previous four years prior to that with Donald Trump and make your decision. Your primary goal of your vote should be to limit evil. Pick the best available set of policies that these candidates represent and vote that way.

In fact, I found another interesting a very good presentation on Christian voting, Pastor Josh Howerton. This presentation called 'How to Vote Like Jesus.' In fact, it'll be posted, you can find it on YouTube, but it will also be posted at voteyourfaith.net. Go to voteyourfaith.net and you can see that presentation as well as some I've done, that Gary Hamrick has done, that Alisa Childers and Natasha Crain has done that, Allen Parr has done, and others.

So, go to voteyourfaith.net. Also go there for a digital voter guide. Put any address in there and you will get your voter guide no matter what address you live in. They'll tell you everybody on your ballot where they stand and then you can make a biblical decision.







PODCAST

Now, let me get back to the flawed character of both candidates. We've said they both had a sordid sexual past. Both have lied or at least exaggerated in their campaigns. But look, to be fair, despite the fact that Donald Trump is often his own worst enemy, you can just look at his rude tweets. You go, what are you saying this for? It's ridiculous, you must admit.

By the way, some have suggested that Trump is on the spectrum somewhere because he doesn't pick up on social cues. But regardless of that, you must admit that he has been taken out of context and treated unfairly by the media. And by the way, this should come as no surprise if you know where the major media stand politically. And I'm going to give you some places where you can check into this in just a minute.

But one study found that professors voting registration at 40 leading American universities across five disciplines found the Democrat to Republican ratio were 20 to 1 Democrat to Republican in journalism. Ninety plus percent of journalists are left and will vote for the Democrat candidate. And you will see how far left they go by how they report.

In fact, I'm going to play you a short video, it's four minutes that will show you how Donald Trump has been taken out of context. I'll explain where this comes from in just a minute, but I'm running out of time. I've got to play it right now here it is.

VIDEO:

The media has widely and repeatedly reported Trump's words out of context in ways that disfigure what he actually said. Now, it's difficult to tell whether these are honest mistakes or deliberate lies, but they did this by cutting out words that he said in close proximity to one another, sometimes within seconds. Here's nine prime examples.

- 1. The media widely reported that Trump called immigrants animals. In reality, Trump used the word animals to describe murderous, illegal aliens like MS 13 gang members, not immigrants in general.
- 2. The media widely reported that Trump threatened a bloodbath if he loses the election. In reality, Trump used the term bloodbath to describe what four more years of Biden's policies would do to the United States, particularly to the auto industry.





I don't have enough FAITH to be an ATHEIST

with Dr. Frank Turek PODCAST

- 3. The media widely reported that Trump praised white supremacists as very fine people. In reality, Trump called them very bad people, stated that he condemned them, and said that the very fine people were those who showed up to innocently protest.
- 4. The media widely reported that Trump said he would use the FBI and DOJ to go after his political opponents. In reality, Trump said that Biden sicked the FBI and DOJ on his political opponents, and this could happen in reverse, but the people aren't going to stand for it.
- 5. The media widely reported that Trump told governors to dominate protesters during the 2020 George Floyd riots. And for good measure, CNN's Anderson Cooper took it another step further by saying that Trump seems to think that dominating black people, dominating peaceful protesters is law and order. In reality, Trump said that he is an ally of all peaceful protesters and that governors have a duty to protect innocent people from professional anarchists, violent mobs, arsonists, looters.
- 6. The media widely reported that Trump encouraged the Capitol Hill riot by urging his supporters to fight like hell. In reality, Trump told his supporters to march over to the Capitol building to peacefully and patriotically make your voices heard.
- 7. The media widely reported that Trump vowed to be a dictator on day one. In fact, Trump said that he is not going to be a dictator except for day one to close the border and drill, drill. The interviewer replied, that sounds to me like you're going back to the policies when you were president. And Trump said, that's exactly right.
- 8. The media widely reported that Trump called for Russia to hack Hillary Clinton's emails. In reality, Trump repeatedly stated that China, Russia, or an independent hacker has probably already hacked Clinton's illegal email server and if they did, they should release the 33,000 emails that Clinton destroyed after received a subpoena for them.
- 9. The media widely reported that Trump told Republicans to vote against the border security bill because he didn't want the crisis fixed while Biden was president. In reality, the bill was loaded with loopholes that permit and reward illegal immigration. And Trump wrote that he







PODCAST

opposes the bill because it, "only gives shutdown authority after to 5,000 encounters a day" when we already have the right to close the border now which must be done. So, when media outlets report on what Trump says, ask yourself, what did he say that they didn't report?

FRANK:

That's from justfacts.com ladies and gentlemen. We'll put the link in the show notes in case you want to watch that yourself. It was a Tik Tok video posted on Twitter, and I'll explain who they are right after the break. You're listening to I Don't have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist with me, Frank Turek on the American Family Radio Network. Back in two minutes.

Ladies and gentlemen, That clip we just showed was from an organization called justfacts.com. If you're just tuning in and you missed the clip, you can go to wherever you see or hear podcasts and look for the I Don't have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist podcast.

We showed a clip from justfacts.com where they went through nine or ten occasions where Donald Trump was taken out of context apparently, at least it seems in many cases deliberately by a leftist media. And then they corrected that. Now, this is not to say that everything Trump says is right.

I'm not saying that because I agree that sometimes Trump says crazy stuff. Although I will say that it's interesting that as Ben Shapiro said, you might not want to pay attention to what Trump says. You may want to pay attention to what Trump does. Okay. But in any event, go to justfacts.com. They have a lot of great research on many different issues, and they self-identify themselves as graduates of Brown University, which of course is not a conservative place.

But they personally, as everyone has political beliefs, they personally lean conservative and or libertarian. But they have this credibility doctrine where they're trying to be as objective as they possibly can. So, I'll leave that up to you to decide how objective they are. But go to justfacts.com for more.

There are other places you can go if you want to see media bias demonstrated, go to the Media Research center and click on News Busters. That's been an organization for many years. It's run by Brett Bazelle and what they do is, they diagnose, they demonstrate, they point out media







PODCAST

bias. And if you click on their News Busters link, you will see examples of it. If you want a website that will give you all sides of journalism, you may want to go to allsides.com because they'll post an article about a particular topic by all three kind of political perspectives from the right, from sort of the middle, and then from the left. And they'll identify, okay, this is CNN or MSNBC. It's coming from the left. This is Fox, this is coming from the right.

And so, you can see how the media reports on the same issue and what the headlines say and of course what the articles say and then make your own decision. But we all know, I mean this has been going on for decades. I remember when Bush number one ran for president, he had a bumper sticker, it said, annoy the media, Vote for Bush.

I remember when Reagan was president and he was giving a talk sort of outside and it was in front of a lake and he got up there and as soon as he got up to the podium or shortly after got up to the podium, he said, if I had walked across the lake to get to this podium the next day, the media headline would have been "Reagan can't swim."

Because the media bias was pronounced even back in the 80's. But as I mentioned, this 2016 study of professors voting registration at 40 American leading universities across five disciplines found out that the Democrat to Republican ratios were 4.5 for economics.

So, for every 4.5 Democrats there was 1 Republican. In history it was 33.5 to 1. In journalism it was 20 to 1. In law it was 8.6 to 1. In psychology it was 17.4 to 1. And a previous study found in sociology the ratio of Democrats to Republicans was 44 to 1. Which led Thomas Sowell, the great Thomas Sowell, to say this.

The next time some academics tell you how important diversity is, ask how many Republicans are in their sociology department. By the way, some of these quotes are coming from a book called 'The Parasitic Mind' by Gad Saad. Dr. Saad is a professor at a Canadian university, and I don't know his religious beliefs. He appears to have some sort of degree in evolutionary biology.

But his book 'The Parasitic Mind' has won a lot of acclaim. Jordan Peterson acclaimed it as a great book and many others did. It's got great reviews on Amazon. I haven't read it myself, but I







PODCAST

have a friend who sends me clips from books he's reading. And this particular clip came from 'The Parasitic Mind', about the leftist predominance in many of these professions and certainly at most major universities. So, you have to keep your guard up when you're reading something in the media because they often will spin stuff left.

And it appears they certainly did that with many of the statements that Trump has said. And Kamala Harris has repeated some of these lies, some of these spins. Particularly the one in the debate they had, she repeated the lie that Trump apparently said the Charlottesville white supremacists were very good people. He never said that.

He actually said, as the video pointed out, and he's on video. Trump is on video saying those people are despicable, they're very bad people. He said, I totally condemn those. I'm saying the peaceful protesters were the good people, not these white supremacists. But the media and Kamala Harris took that completely out of context and lied about it.

So, just consider the source and look at the evidence for yourself. So, back to your question J.P. I don't endorse a candidate because as I mentioned before, and I don't think Christians ought to either, you know, unless it's your dad, you know, or somebody very close friend of yours. But you can endorse policies.

And when you line up the policies, as we've done on previous programs, look. It is what it is. The Republican platform is not perfect, but it's far more biblical than the Democrat platform. As many, even pastors have said, the Democrat platform seems like a death cult now. They are endorsing virtually everything God hates. And so, vote biblically.

If Christians would vote biblically, many of our political problems would be solved. But half of Christians don't even vote, which is tragic. Why would you not want to limit evil in your society? Why would you not want policies put in place that protect the unborn, that protect religious freedom, that protect innocent people from evil?

We've got 425,000 criminals. Thirteen thousand of them, as Homeland Security admits, are convicted murderers that we've let across the border in recent years. We've got 300,000 children that are now unaccompanied or unaccounted for in our country because they came







PODCAST

across the border. We didn't keep track of them, and now they're probably many of them in the sex trade industry. This is not compassionate. This is not protecting innocent people from evil.

Our government's job, as every government's job, is to protect their innocent citizens from evil. And we don't do that when we allow open borders. We're incentivizing sin. We're incentivizing sex-trafficking. We're incentivizing drug-trafficking. We're incentivizing all sorts of the terrorists coming across the border. And this is not what the government ought to be doing. The government exists to protect innocent people from evil.

All right, let me go to another question. Steve writes in Frank, thank you for your ministry. In the video, you were saying we should do our civic duty and go vote. Generally, I agree. He goes on to say, however, that I've prepared a set of questions for those running for state office and those running for federal office that I sent to each candidate to complete.

And the questions seek to determine competence of the candidate based on foundational topics like what is the purpose of government, U.S. Constitutional topics, what are the five rights secured by the First Amendment, and as appropriate, the Tennessee Constitution topics. Steve comes from Tennessee. He says very few will answer the questions regardless of political party.

And so, here's Steve's question. Why should I vote for a candidate who is not willing to demonstrate competence for office? Am I wasting my time trying to make an informed decision, a decision that my conscience is at peace with? What is God honoring about just voting Republican because their platform is better when the individual I'm voting for may not know the basics of the U.S. Constitution or the state constitution? Sincerely, Steve.

Well, let me point this out, Steve. I applaud you for trying to get them to answer basic questions about our Constitution. But please remember that candidates are busy, and they can't answer every inquiry and probably won't answer your inquiry unless you're a big enough organization or a big enough name to have influence over a large number of voters.





I don't have enough FAITH to be an ATHEIST

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

You know, they get all sorts of inquiries about where they stand on certain issues. Now, other organizations that maybe I don't know your organization and I don't know you personally, but other organizations have had some success doing this because they influence a lot of people. For example, the American Family (AFA), American Family Association, who is associated with this radio program, the American Family Radio Network, has surveyed politicians all over the country.

Some I think they've canvassed personally, and others they just look at their voting record and they've created a digital voter guide called ivoterguide.com. That's the one I've been suggesting you go to. You can go to ivoterguide.com or go to voteyourfaith.net Voteyourfaith.net will lead you to ivoterguide.com and you can put any address, as I say in America in there and it'll tell you everybody on your ballot.

So, just because you can't get answers from people doesn't mean that they don't have good answers. It might just be that they don't have time to respond to you. Now, I agree that primaries are critical. That's where you can get the best candidate which will shape the platform. But when you get to the general election, you're down to just two viable choices again, who are not just single candidates.

They're representing 5,000 people that will go to Washington and implement a platform. Now, you're down to these two viable choices. In my view, you vote to protect people from evil. That's number one. Secondly, and do the most good. You're going to vote policy over personality because policies last a lot longer than personalities.

So, I wish there was a better answer. I wish I could say, you know, everybody should respond to your request to answer your questions, but not everybody's going to do that. So, you have to vote for the least worst choice and also the best choice. Whenever there are two candidates or two parties, they're going to be the least worst choice and the best choice. What should you do?

You should do both. Okay? You're never going to get everything you want. You don't even get everything you want in a spouse. You're not going to get everything you want in a political party or a candidate. So, vote to limit evil. That's the number one purpose of government. And the







PODCAST

second purpose is to promote the good. All right, we've got more questions right after the break. Again, you're listening to I Don't have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist with me, Frank Turek, on the American Family Radio Network.

You know, some people say that there aren't many late abortions in this country. We're going to point out that's actually not true right after the break. Don't go anywhere. Back in two.

Ladies and gentlemen, this weekend, this Sunday, October 27th, Lord willing, I will be at Park Valley Church in Haymarket Virginia. There are three services in the morning, 8:15, 10, and 11:45. And we'll do I Don't have Enough Faith to be an Atheist. I will introduce it in the morning and then beginning at 4 o'clock that afternoon until about 6pm I'll finish the I Don't Have Enough Faith to Be an Atheist presentation and take your questions.

Everybody is welcome to Park Valley Church in Haymarket Virginia, just to the west of Washington, D.C. Then the next night, we're going to be here in Charlotte, North Carolina at a church up near Lake Norman at Lake Norman called Freedom House Church. And we will be talking about if there is a good God, why is there evil? And that will be livestreamed at 7:30pm Eastern.

So, if you're not near Charlotte, you can actually watch that. Also want to mention there's still time to join the very robust course on that topic led by Dr. Clay Jones called 'Why Does God Allow Evil?' The first Zoom session is the 30th, so as long as you join before Wednesday, you can be part of the premium course and you'll be on Zoom for live Q&A with Dr. Jones on several occasions beginning on the 30th.

Also want to mention on the 29th this coming Tuesday, we are going to have a livestream with The Babylon Bee guys because they just released a brand-new movie called 'January 6th.' It's a mockumentary. You're not going to want to miss it. We're going to have the great Kyle Mann on. He is the general editor of The Babylon Bee. He also stars in this documentary mockumentary.







PODCAST

It's quite funny, a serious issue, but they make light of many of the funny things associated with it. So, you're not going to want to miss that. We'll also take your questions. That should be 9pm on our YouTube channel on this Tuesday, the 29th of October.

So, join us for The Babylon Bee J6. Their brand-new blockbuster movie. Oscars I'm sure are going to be won by The Babylon Bee folks because of this amazing film. You're not going to want to miss it. All right. Let me go back to questions.

We've got a question from Mike.

Mike is a gentleman that writes in frequently. I can't always get to his questions because he writes like books, book length comments and questions. But a few weeks ago, he wrote in about abortion and it's a very long email but let me just read a section of it and we will respond to what he says.

He's skeptical as I say. He said, you know that almost 60% of abortions are pharmaceutical, not involving any invasive medical process and that 95% of abortions occur by 15 weeks when the fetus weighs about 4 ounces that only 1.3% of abortions occur after 20 weeks and most of those involve a serious health risk to the mother or fatal fetal abnormality.

Yet you and other anti-abortion activists never mention these facts. Instead, you refer to abortion as ripping babies from their mother's wombs and dismembering children in their mother's wombs. You have every right to claim and attempt to support your religious belief that personhood is established at conception as a result of God implanting a soul.

You don't have a right to completely ignore the facts and misrepresent the process. Okay, Mike, let me start with the fact that 60% of abortions are pharmaceutical. Yes. RU-486. Interesting name for that. RU-486 in someone. Isn't that interesting? RU-486, okay. It was actually originally...

Well, let me put it this way. Seth Gruber, who wrote the book 'The 1916 Project', has been on this program. We had him on on July 2, points out that the company that creates RU-486 is actually a subsidiary of the same company that created Zyklon B. What's Zyklon B?





I don't have enough FAITH to be an ATHEIST

with Dr. Frank Turek

PODCAST

That's the gas they put in the gas chambers in Nazi Germany. Yeah, it's a German company. Isn't that an interesting connection? Yes, it's true that 60% of abortions are this. But these abortions are horrific because a woman stays at home and basically gives birth to a stillborn baby. All right? Very barbaric. All abortion is barbaric. And if you don't think so, Mike, I need you to go to caseforlife.com. Scroll down and look at the one minute video.

There's a warning on the front. It's graphic because it will show you an abortion in every single trimester. And you will see that we are not exaggerating when we say we are dismembering children in abortion. Because you will see the results of an abortion in the first trimester, second trimester, and third trimester. You will see that these are body parts.

By the way, as we pointed out in a program we will put in the show notes, that we actually, it's on our YouTube channel. But we'll put it in the show notes that Planned Parenthood was exposed as selling aborted baby body parts. If it's not a person in there, and the word person is really irrelevant to this discussion. We don't have to legally define what a person is to know that a human being is in the womb.

If there's not a human being in the womb, Mike, how are they pulling body parts out in all three trimesters? It is a human being. It's not a squirrel, it's not a raccoon. There's a human being in there. Now, regarding the soul. Irrelevant. You don't even have to have the Bible to know that this is wrong.

You just have to see what's going on inside a womb. And you know that you're tearing a human being apart. And this even happens in the second and third trimesters. And you say only 1.3% of abortions occur after 20 weeks. Yeah, that may be true, but that's still about 10,000 people in America every year. And it's probably higher than that because only a handful of states are required to report their late abortion numbers.

And Allie Beth Stuckey's book, which we had her on last week, goes into this. Her book, 'Toxic Empathy.' So, if you really want to know the truth about this, get her book, or you can just go to the CDC. The CDC admits that 10,000 babies are killed in the second and third trimester every year in this country.







PODCAST

And that actually is a low estimate because not every state reports their late abortion numbers. And anecdotally, this is happening all the time. In fact, a woman at Student for Life called an abortion clinic in Bethesda. You want to hear what happened? Here it is. All right.

VIDEO:

Calling the CARE Clinic in Bethesda, Maryland. Thank you for calling. Karen, how can I help you? I am looking to have an abortion. How far along are you? I'm 34 right now. I am in a pretty desperate situation. My boyfriend is kind of out of the picture now, so I don't really have any support. An abortion at any stage is actually much safer than delivering a term pregnancy.

It's a four to five day procedure. We do some basic lab work on you and start to dilate your cervix. After that, we do what we call the fetal injection. A needle is inserted through the abdomen and into the fetal heart where lidocaine is injected. And that will completely numb the fetus so there's no pain.

And then after that, we inject a medication called digoxin and another medication called KCL into the fetal heart, which will slow and then stop the fetal heartbeat. Then on that fourth day, depending on how your cervix is dilated, we'll break your water and then we'll give you a medication called Misoprostol. Misoprostol will sort of induce contraction and increase the dilation of your cervix.

You're going to have contractions and cramping, and then we'll assist you in sort of pushing in the induction and then remove all of the products of conception. You're definitely going to feel discomfort and cramping and a lot of pressure. But we do give you fentanyl and Versed during the procedure. We specialize in later trimester care. Our doctor is very well-versed in what he's doing, and he's very good. So, I'm not like a rare situation? Y'all help women this late in pregnancy all the time? All the time.

FRANK:

Thirty-four weeks she said she was pregnant. That's in the eighth month. Oh, yeah, we do this all the time. At least 10,000, probably many more of these abortions are done in America every year. And Kamala Harris wants abortion, this kind of abortion, all the way up to the moment of birth. And she wants you, the taxpayer, to pay for it.







PODCAST

These are the facts, ladies and gentlemen. You get to do what you want with these facts. But, Mike, please don't write in and say that when we say we're tearing babies from the womb, or abortionists are tearing babies from the womb, or they're dismembering children, and you're calling that false? No, what you're saying is false. Look at the facts.

Look at what this Bethesda, a Maryland abortion clinic has said. They're going to put a drug right into the heart of the baby to kill the baby, and then they're going to induce labor and delivery. And in other situations, they just cut the baby up inside. And sometimes they orient the baby in a certain way to make sure they get the body part that somebody wants to buy, that that body part doesn't get damaged.

This is barbaric. You don't even need the Bible to know that this is wrong. The soul, irrelevant to the conversation. That's a theological issue for Christians. When does the soul get put in? That's not what we're talking about here. We're talking about "is that a human being?" It is a human being. So, get the facts.

Go to caseforlife.com, look at the one minute video. See the product of conception as that lady just said. Yeah, body parts, human beings. All right, friends, sorry for this cheery show, but if you're going to write in and write in falsehoods, I'm going to have to deal with them. And this is a falsehood. Vote accordingly. Vote life over death. Vote biblically. Vote policy over personality. All right, Lord willing, we'll see you here next week. God bless.



